

Date: Sun, 12 Dec 93 04:30:58 PST  
From: Ham-Space Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-space@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Space-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Space@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Space Digest V93 #107  
To: Ham-Space

Ham-Space Digest                      Sun, 12 Dec 93                      Volume 93 : Issue 107

Today's Topics:

                    Dealing with Doppler Shift?  
                    Free Radio Bands Questions  
                    Mode-A Questions (2 msgs)  
            SatTrack --- Unix satellite tracking program  
            Some satellite tracking questions  
            Two-Line Element Set Questions

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Space@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Space-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Space Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-space".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: Fri, 10 Dec 1993 11:42:39  
From: noc.near.net!lard.ftp.com!wabi.ftp.com!kerskine@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Dealing with Doppler Shift?  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

In article <2e9vkd\$8jr@oak.oakland.edu> prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu (prvalko)  
writes:

>The way I understand it, one uses the satellites such that the LOWER  
>frequency never gets adjusted. i.e. on RS-10/11 you tune the 145  
>transmitter so that the 29 MHz receive frequency stays put.

That's right. If you start moving your downlink frequency on your receiver,  
not only do you create a lot of frustration, but you can interfere with other  
QSOs

73...Keith - KA1RHO

-----  
Date: Sat, 11 Dec 1993 15:43:30 GMT  
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!  
gary@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Free Radio Bands Questions  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

In article <CHrzHw.Bp9@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU> mb7s@fermi.clas.Virginia.EDU  
(Mikhail Boukhny) writes:  
>Someone has asked me recently what if he wants to build a competing network  
>for cellular phones. Are there any gaps yet in the bandwidth? Whom should he  
>ask to? Federal Communication Commission? Any information would be appreciated.

The FCC allocated two systems per service area, 400 channels each. One goes  
to the wireline carrier, and the other goes to the non-wireline carrier. All  
of the urban systems have been allocated and built, and the last RSA, rural  
service area, is being built out now east of the Mississippi. There might be  
a slot available in Idaho or Utah that isn't filled yet, but I doubt it.

There's a new service, PCS, that's a sort of micro-cellular system on  
new frequency allocations in the 1.8 GHz area. The FCC plans to hold  
an \*auction\* to sell allocations for this service. If you had a \*lot\*  
of money, you might be able to get in on this.

Gary

--  
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | I kill you, | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary  
Destructive Testing Systems | You kill me, | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary  
534 Shannon Way | We're the Manson Family | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary  
Lawrenceville, GA 30244 | -sorry Barney |

-----  
Date: Sat, 11 Dec 1993 15:57:06 GMT  
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!  
gary@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Mode-A Questions  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

In article <CHruyt.Ktx@rd1.InterLan.COM> tavernin@sun1.interlan.com (Victor  
Tavernini) writes:  
>  
>I have a couple of questions about Mode-A on RS-10/11 and RS-12/13 ...  
>  
>1. Is a 10M preamp usually necessary?

If you have a late model transceiver, no. Only if you have an older radio whose sensitivity falls off on 10 meters is a preamp necessary. With good coax, line loss will be negligible.

>2. Is CW used at all on this mode ... or does SSB predominate?

Morse is probably at least as common as SSB on this satellite.

>3. How much power do I need on the uplink and what type of antenna?

An ERP in the 50-500 watt range is fine, with lower values almost always sufficient. You don't want to be stronger than the beacon. How you get that ERP, whether by transmitter power or antenna gain is your choice. If you already have a beam for other satellites, you can use that. Or you can pump out 100 watts into an omni antenna and do fairly well.

>4. Is it practical at all to key the mike, of an FM rig, to produce CW  
> for the uplink?

Sometimes with some radios, if you key relatively slowly. Usually you'll want to go into the radio and arrange to key the driver stage instead. That'll allow the oscillator to run continuously rather than waiting for PLL lockup, leave the transmitter stabilized at power, and avoid the TR delay. Of course disconnect the mike when using Morse or you'll have room noise being transmitted.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	I kill you,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	You kill me,	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	We're the Manson Family	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-sorry Barney	

-----

Date: Thu, 9 Dec 1993 10:59:54  
From: ucsnews!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!  
lard.ftp.com!wabi.ftp.com!kerskine@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Mode-A Questions  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

Victor,

Here are some answers based on my experiences (and may be helpful to others)

>1. Is a 10M preamp usually necessary?

While a pre-amp might be handy for low horizon passes, I never had a problem

listening to my downlink on either a dipole, or my 10m Ringo Ranger.

>2. Is CW used at all on this mode ... or does SSB predominate?

On RS-10, I'd say its 60% SSB, 40% CW. Sometimes people will initiate a contact with SSB and finish with CW when it's close to LOS

>3. How much power do I need on the uplink and what type of antenna?

Remembering that you only use enough power as necessary (which is especially true with Satellite transponders), I've made successful contacts on SSB with 10 watts into a 1/4 ground plane!

>4. Is it practical at all to key the mike, of an FM rig, to produce CW  
> for the uplink?

Don't know on this question, but I've heard that it can be done.

Regards...Keith - KA1RHO

-----

Date: 8 Dec 1993 00:24:08 GMT  
From: sdd.hp.com!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!agate!  
usenet@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: SatTrack --- Unix satellite tracking program  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

SatTrack V1.7 re-Release

A while back I released the version 1.7 of the satellite tracking program I've written for Unix machines. I hope most of the bugs have been found (at least the remaining ones ought to be of minor impact). You can get SatTrack from ucsd.edu (128.54.16.1). Do the following:

```
ftp ucsd.edu
login anonymous
password: YOUR_EMAIL_ADDRESS
cd hamradio/packet/tcpip/incoming
get sattrack.V1.7.tar.Z
```

Place this file into your Unix home directory, uncompress it, and then type 'tar xvpf sattrack.V1.7.tar'. This will unbundle the distribution file and create all subdirectories that are necessary. Then look into the documentation file 'sattrack.doc' in SatTrack/Doc for instructions how to compile sattrack (and some other auxiliary programs). Please let me know how it works and if there are any bugs that I haven't encountered yet. It should

compile perfectly on at least Sun-3's (SunOS 4.0.3) and SPARCstation IPCs (SunOS 4.1.1). Some machines require stdlib.h to be included. In this case comment out the line

```
#define SUNOS4
```

in sattrack.h before compilation. There is a fix to be made to the shell script 'getElementSets' for the anonymous FTP access of two-line orbital elements, however: a 'dash' needs to be put in front of your login name, as shown below. Also, I believe the Internet address has changed. The new one below is known to work fine.

```
cd $HOME/SatTrack/Data
ftp -n 129.92.1.66 << !
user anonymous -manfred@isi5.ssl.berkeley.edu
cd /pub/space
get tle.new
quit
!
cp tle.dat tle.bak
mv tle.new tle.dat
```

In the meantime I have been working on various updates. My current version is V1.11, but this has not been tested fully yet. The next version to be released (V2.0) will have implemented (amongst a few extra features) the NORAD SGP4/SDP4 code for precision tracking. I hope this will be ready and tested early next year.

73, Manfred --- W6/DL5KR

Manfred Bester  
Space Sciences Lab  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
(510) 642-8497  
e-mail: manfred@ssl.berkeley.edu

-----  
Date: Sat, 11 Dec 1993 15:46:23 GMT  
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!  
gary@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Some satellite tracking questions  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

In article <CHrr26.H6A@cbnewsh.cb.att.com> wa2sff@cbnewsh.cb.att.com  
(joseph.e.wilkes) writes:  
>What are people's opinions on the following:

>  
>1) What is a good satelllite tracking program?  
>  
>I have been evaluating traksat and I am close to sending in  
>my registration fee.  
>I have also seen ads for Instantttrack from AMSAT and Realtrak  
>from R Meyers. Are either of these two better and why?  
>Should I have more than one, if so why?

IT is the way to go. Not only is it a neat program, but the revenues  
from it go directly into the AMSAT satellite fund. It's a primary  
fundraiser for the amateur satellite community. Buy a copy.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	I kill you,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	You kill me,	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	We're the Manson Family	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-sorry Barney	

-----  
Date: Fri, 10 DEC 93 23:54:58 EST  
From: noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!usenet@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Two-Line Element Set Questions  
To: ham-space@ucsd.edu

Your message on two-line element set questions was very informative. Where can  
one find more information about the SGP4 orbital model and algorithms for  
computing satellite positions based on this model?

-----  
Dave Hartrum  
hartrum@delphi.com or 71664.1743@compuserve.com

-----  
End of Ham-Space Digest V93 #107

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*